### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 1:25-CV-22530-BECERRA/TORRES

JESUS E. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff,

VS.

STATEWIDE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC. AND NOEL MELO,

Defendants.

#### JOINT SCHEDULING REPORT

Counsel for Plaintiff, Jesus E. Gonzalez Hernandez, and for Defendant, Statewide Electrical Services, Inc. and Noel Melo, after having met and conferred, submit the following Joint Scheduling Report pursuant to Local Rule 16.1B(2) and this Court's Order entered on June 4, 2025 [ECF No. 4]:

#### (A) The Likelihood of Settlement

The parties exchanged information and have discussed the potential for settlement in this action in which the Plaintiff seeks compensatory overtime wage damages (plus interest, liquidated damages, costs, and attorneys' fees) under the Fair Labor Standards Act. They will continue to explore the potential for settling this case.

#### (B) The Likelihood of Appearance in the Action of Additional Parties

Although the Plaintiff is not seeking to certify a class, he anticipates that another former employee of the Defendants may seek to join this case.

#### (C) Proposed Limits on Time

i. To join other parties and to amend the pleadings: by October 27, 2025

ii. To file and hear motions: by Friday, May 8, 2026

iii. To complete discovery: by March 10, 2026

(D) Proposals for the Formulation and Simplification of Issues, Including the Elimination of Frivolous Claims or Defenses, and the Number and Timing of Motions for Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment.

None at this time.

(E) The Necessity or Desirability of Amendments to the Pleadings

None other than as necessary if an additional Plaintiff were to join in these proceedings.

(F) The Possibility of Obtaining Admissions of Fact and of Documents, Electronically Stored Information or Things which will Avoid Unnecessary Proof, Stipulations regarding Authenticity of Documents, Electronically Stored Information or Things, and the Need for Advance Rulings from the Court on Admissibility of Evidence

The parties will utilize admissions of facts and stipulations regarding the authenticity of documents to avoid unnecessary proof.

(G) Suggestions for the Avoidance of Unnecessary Proof and of Cumulative

Evidence

None other than in (F), above.

(H) Suggestions on the Advisability of Referring Matters to a Magistrate Judge or Master.

It is likely that motions concerning discovery, costs, attorneys' fees, sanctions or contempt, and other pre-trial matters (but not the trial on the merits) could be referred to a Magistrate Judge for resolution.

(I) A Preliminary Estimate of the Time Required for Trial.

A Preliminary estimate of the time required for trial is three days by jury.

(J) <u>Requested Date(s) for Conferences Before Trial, a Final Pretrial Conference</u> and Trial

1. **Trial** – September 7, 2026

- 2. **Pre-trial Conference** Wednesday, August 20, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.
- 3. **Joint Pre-trial Stipulation** Friday, Friday, August 25, 2026
- 4. **Motion in Limine deadline** Friday, July 17, 2026
- 5. **Completion of Mediation** Tuesday, March 17, 2026

#### (K) Any Issues Regarding:

# 1. Disclosure, Discovery, or Preservation of Electronically Stored Information, Including the Form or Forms in which it Should be Produced

The Parties agree that ESI may exist subject to discovery or disclosure. Such ESI will be identified and preserved in native format; however, the initial production of such documents will be in searchable Word, Excel, .pdf, or .tiff format unless specifically requested otherwise. The Parties agree that there is no obligation to make documents / ESI searchable which are not currently preserved in a searchable format.

The parties anticipate that they will produce electronically stored information relating to Plaintiff's days and hours worked and pay to him electronically, to the extent it exists. The electronic formats are likely to include those that can be opened by Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, and Adobe. With regard to e-mails or searchable ESI for which either party has the technical capability to conduct a search, the parties shall agree on search terms that fall within the scope of discovery contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). The parties agree that such discussions may be used in connection with any motion to compel.

# 2. Claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, including -- if the parties agree on a procedure to assert those claims after production -- whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502

If information called for during discovery is itself privileged, it need not be disclosed. However, the existence of the information and any non-privileged information called for must be disclosed in a privilege log. A privilege log shall be prepared for all items withheld based on a claim of privilege or work product protection, except written communications between a named party and its counsel after the litigation became imminent or after the commencement of the action and documents created by counsel after litigation became imminent. When a claim of privilege or work product protection is asserted, the party asserting the privilege or protection shall provide the following information with respect to each such item in the form of a privilege log: the type of item; the date of the item; the author of the item; whether or not the author is a lawyer; each recipient of the item; and the privilege asserted.

If information is inadvertently produced in discovery that is subject to a claim of privilege or protection as trial-preparation material, the party making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The producing party must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

The parties will address the necessity of asserting claims of privilege or protection for disclosed trial-preparation materials when the issue becomes ripe.

# 3. When the parties have agreed to use the ESI Checklist available on the Court's website (www.flsd.uscourts.gov), matters enumerated on the ESI Checklist

The parties do not anticipate that the ESI at issue in this case will require the parties to utilize the ESI Checklist.

#### (L) <u>Any Other Information that Might be Helpful to the Court in Setting the</u> Case for Status or Pretrial Conference

None at this time, although there are pattern jury instructions applicable to actions for unpaid overtime wages under the FLSA. There is no need for a variance from the discovery limitations imposed by the Local Rules and/or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

#### (M) Plaintiff's Statement of Claim

Plaintiff provides this Statement of Claim as a case management tool required by the Court at ECF No. 1. *See Calderon v. Baker Concrete Const., Inc.*, 771 F.3d 807, 811 (11th Cir. 2014) ("That document is an extra-Rules practice used by the district court for its convenience and to aid in case management. It does not have the status of a pleading, and it is not an amendment under Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.") Plaintiff's Statement of Claim is based upon the information currently known and/or available. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Statement of Claim based upon additional information provided by Defendants, through discovery, and/or as additional/different information becomes known

#### 1. Initial estimate of the total amount of alleged unpaid wages:

\$6,365.52

#### 2. Preliminary calculation of alleged unpaid wages.

```
$50.25/hour x 1.5 = 84 hours overtime * $75.38 (OT rate) = $6,331.50
```

## 3. Approximate period during which the alleged FLSA violations occurred.

April 2023 – September 2023

- Station 1 (Allapattah) 16 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o April 17 April 20, 2023: 8 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o April 24 April 27, 2023: 8 hours of unpaid overtime
- Station 2 (Santa Clara) 20 hours
  - o May 8 May 1, 2023: 6 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o May 15 May 18, 2023: 6 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o May 22 May 25, 2023: 8 hours of unpaid overtime
- Station 3 (Culmer) 24 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o June 12 June 15, 2023: 6 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o June 19 June 22, 2023: 8 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o June 26 June 29, 2023: 10 hours of unpaid overtime
- Station 4 (Gap Tie 2) 8 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o July 17 July 20, 2023: 4 hours of unpaid overtime
  - O July 24 July 27, 2023: 4 hours of unpaid overtime
- Station 5 (Overtown) 8 hours of unpaid overtime
  - O August 21 August 24. 2023: 4 hours of unpaid overtime
  - o August 28 August 3, 2023: 4 hours of unpaid overtime
- Station 6 (Brickell) 8 hours of unpaid overtime
  - O September 11 September 14, 2023: 2 hours
  - o September 18 September 21, 2023: 3 hours
  - o September 25 September 28, 2023: 4 hours

#### 4. Nature of the wages (e.g., overtime or straight time).

Plaintiff seeks recovery of overtime wages under the FLSA.

#### 5. Amount of attorney's fees (hours and rate) incurred to date.

Attorney's Fees: \$7,697.25

Brian H. Pollock, Esq. (\$500/hour) 4.1 hours Katelyn Schickman, Esq. (\$275/hour) 10.2 hours Steffany Sanguino (paralegal) (\$165/hour) 13.50 hours

Costs: \$497.40

Total Fees and Costs: \$8,197.40

#### (N) Response to Plaintiff's Statement of Claim.

The Defendants provide the following details for any defenses the Defendants may raise to Plaintiff's' claims:

 Plaintiff did not work in excess of forty (40) hours during any workweek from April 2023 through September 2023

#### (O) Early Mediation Date With The Magistrate Judge.

The parties agree that an early mediation date with a Magistrate Judge could be helpful in resolving this matter and so request that the Court set an early mediation with a Magistrate Judge.

#### (P) <u>Certification of Disclosures.</u>

The parties certify that they exchanged their disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) at or before they conferred to develop their case management and discovery plan.

Dated this 1st Day of August 2025.

s/Brian H. Pollock, Esq.
Brian H. Pollock, Esq. (174742)
brian@fairlawattorney.com
FAIRLAW FIRM
135 San Lorenzo Avenue
Suite 770
Coral Gables, FL 33146

Tel: 305.230.4884 Counsel for Plaintiff

s/Stephen Verbit
Stephen Verbit
Fla. Bar No.: 710857
sverbit@verbitlawfl.com
6741 Orange Drive
Davie, Florida 33314
(954) 965-8350
Counsel for Defendants